

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/765,034	01/17/2001	Joseph A. Hedrick	CN01084	6960
24265	7590 10/02/2002			
SCHERING	G-PLOUGH CORPO	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT (K-6-1, 1990) 2000 GALLOPING HILL ROAD			LAZAR WESLEY, ELIANE M	
KENILWOI	RTH, NJ 07033-0530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/765,034

Applicant(s)

09/703

Hedrick

Office Action Summary

Examiner
Eliane Lazar-Wesley

Art Unit **1646**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no even mailing date of this communication.	t, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statut If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applic Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this come earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exception closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Oct.	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6)					
7) 🗱 Claim(s) 🚘					
8) 🗓 Claims 1 – W					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)	accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received in Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer					
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priora) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional app					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior					
Attachment(s)					
	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Other:				

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 4-13, drawn to a polynucleotide, an expression system, a process for producing a host cell, a process for producing a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - III. Claim 3, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1
 - IV. Claims 14-15, as drawn to a method of identifying an agonist or antagonist of the polypeptide, by measuring the amount of labeled adenosine bound, classified in class 436, subclass 504.
 - V. Claim 16, as drawn to a method of identifying an antagonist of the polypeptide, by measuring a cellular function, classification depending on the function measured.
 - VI Claims 17-18, as drawn to an agonist, classification depending on the compound.
 - VII. Claims 17-18, as drawn to an antagonist, classification depending on the compound.
 - VIII. Claim 19, drawn to a method of treatment using an agonist, classification depending on the nature and structure of the agonist.
 - IX. Claim 19, drawn to a method of treatment using an antagonist, classification depending on the nature and structure of the antagonist.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

X. Claim 20, drawn to measuring expression of an adenosine receptor using a PCR method, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-III and VI-VII are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionnally, and are therefore patentably distinct. The nucleic acids molecules encoding a polypeptide of invention II are related to the protein of invention I by virtue of encoding same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionnally distinct chemical entities, and the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such by synthetic peptides synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.

The proteins of invention I are related to the antibodies of invention III by virtue of being cognate antigens, which may be used for the production of the antibodies. Although the protein and antibody are related due to the necessary stearic complementarity of the two, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionnally distinct chemical entities, and because the proteins can be used in other and materially different processes from the use for production of the antibody, such as in pharmaceutical compositions, or in a diagnostic assay or for therapeutic purposes.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,034

Art Unit: 1646

The agonist and antagonist of Groups VI and VII are mutually exclusive, and are patentably

distinct from the products of Groups I-III.

The methods of Groups IV, V, VIII, IX and X are independent and patentably distinct, as

they use different reagents, have different steps and reach different goals.

Inventions VI and VIII, and Inventions VII and IX, are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product

or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product

(MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the methods of treatment can be practiced with different

products, like a promotor of gene expression or an anti-sense RNA for example.

Inventions II and X are related as product and process of use. In the instant case, the

polynucleotide as claimed can be used in a materially different process, like the recombinant

expression of a polypeptide.

Inventions I and IV-X, Inventions II and IV-IX, Inventions III and VI, VIII, X, respectively,

are unrelated, as they are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Invention III and each of Inventions IV, V, VII, IX are related as product and process of use.

In the instant case, the antibody can be used for affinity purification, and the methods can use

antagonists other than an antibody.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/765,034

Art Unit: 1646

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and because the

searches required for each of the groups are different, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eliane Lazar-Wesley, PhD, whose telephone number is (703) 305 4059. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308 4242. Faxed draft or informal

communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ELW

October 01, 2002

New

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600